UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/158,099	09/22/1998	KENJI MIWA	0163-0707-2X	3529
22850 7590	10/10/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			LIN, KUANG Y	
ARLINGTON, VA	22202		ART UNIT	DARED MR (DED
			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/158,099	MIWA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Kuang Y. Lin	1725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
1)[  ]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S	Sentember 2002					
.,⊿ 2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits i	is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under a condition of claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 15, 18 and 19 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	•					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>15,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		miner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🔲	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·				
14)∏ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application	on).			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proving the foreign language p	visional application has been rec	eived.	·			
Attachment(		, , ,	····				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra	demark Office						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/158,099

Art Unit: 1722

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vives.

Vives discloses a grain refinement method for aluminum alloy (page 448, left col., last paragraph and right col., 4<sup>th</sup> complete paragraph) by applying an electric current and a magnetic field simultaneously (page 446, right col., 3<sup>rd</sup> complete paragraph and the junction paragraph between pages 447 and 448) to the molten aluminum alloy during a solidification process at temperature lower than a liquidus of the alloy (page 446, right col., last paragraph, page 447, right col., second complete paragraph and page 449, right col., 1<sup>st</sup> complete paragraph). Although he does not mention the feature of shifting a refined material to a periphery of a container to yield the refined material concentrated in an end portion of the metallic material, apparently, his process will produce the same result as that of applicants since he performs the identical process steps as that of applicants.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is  $n^-t$  identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/158,099

Art Unit: 1722

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vives.
  It would have obvious to use the container of any configuration in the process of
  Vives depending on the designated metallic cast article to be obtained.
- 6. Claims 15, 18 and 19 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Radjai et al and further in view of Vives for the same reasons as set forth in the last office action.

Namely, Radijai et al substantially show the invention as claimed except they does not disclose to crush solid crystals into small pieces during a solidification process at temperatures lower than the liquidus. However, Vives discloses two distinct causes of grain refinement, represented by fluid flow and cavitation phenomena, in a solidifying liquid metal (see page 448, right col. last paragraph). In the absence of cavitation and for a sufficient intensity of the oscillating flow, the columnar-dendritic crystallization is replaced by a microstructure characterized by the formation of agglomeration of globular particles. On the

Application/Control Number: 09/158,099

Art Unit: 1722

other hand, when an alloy is solidified in the presence of well-developed cavitation situations, a very fine and homogeneous microstructure has been observed throughout ingot (see page 449, right col. second paragraph and page 454, left col. second paragraph). He also discloses that gas content in the liquid metal (see page 449, left col. second paragraph) and the intensity of magnetic pressure contribute to the cavitation phenomena (see page 449, left col. last paragraph through page 449, right col. last paragraph). It would have been obvious to manipulate the gas content of aluminum alloy and the magnetic pressure during the solidification process of Radjai et al in view of Vives such that to obtain well-developed cavitation situations in the molten metal at the temperature lower than the liquidus and thereby to better refine the grain structure.

7. Applicant's arguments filed September 30, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The main argument of applicants is in that both prior art references do not show the claimed feature of applying an electric current and a magnetic field simultaneously to the solidifying molten metal at a temperature lower than the liquidus. However, Vives does show that features as stated in 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph supra. Further, Radjai et al also shows to apply an electric current and a magnetic field simultaneously to a molten aluminum alloy. Thus, applicants' argument is moot.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/158,099

Art Unit: 1722

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 703-308-2322.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-3322. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7719

for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

\*\*\*

October 8, 2002

KUANG Y. LIN EXAMINER

GROUP 320